UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,844	11/13/2003	Toshiyuki Mitsubori	011350-325	4885	
	7590 07/17/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER			
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	RODRIGUEZ, LENNIN R			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/705,844	MITSUBORI, TOSHIYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ	2625		

	LENNIN R. RODRIGUEZ	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in a ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (c).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	owable if submitted in a separate, t ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,5,7,10-12,14 and 18-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Displaceure Statement(s). 	,	condition for allowan	ce pecause:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	1 10/06/00) Fapel 110(5)		
/King Y. Poon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's argument regarding "Nakagiri does not disclose changing the default settings of the printer 1500 to create a modified default setting for each of the specific jobs based on a single default setup command included in a printing job. Thus, Nakagiri fails to disclose modifying multiple items of a default setting to create a modified default setting based on a single default setup command included in the printing job" has been fully considered; in response the examiner still thinks that Nakagiri teaches the change in default settings (column 16, line 50 through column 17, line 8, where the field 1002 (further disclosed in Fig. 11) contains instruction for modifying the default setup such as field 1105 that stores information about finishing settings to the printer) since even if it is temporary it does change the default settings by way of a command in the print job. The printer settings are the ones that have to be changed to be able to print the document as desired, thus modifying the default settings of the printer itself.

Applicant's argument regarding "the Examiner merely states that the combination would have been obvious "because allow the system of Nakagiri '359 to be properly functioned" (see page 4 of the Office Action). This conclusory statement is insufficient to support an obviousness rejection" has been fully considered; in response the examiner would like to point out that it is obvious to a person or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an analyzing unit and modifying unit to Nakagiri because it would show and demonstrate the fact that those two components are implicit in the operations of Nakagiri, but for clear showing better presented with the Brown reference, thus allowing the system of Nakagiri to propertly function under normal circumstances and these be nodes inside able to perform analyzing and modifying.